## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of Ameri	ca,	Case No.	4:17-CR-00191	-JST-3	
Plaintiff	· ; )		ΓED ORDER EXCLUDIN DER THE SPEEDY TRIA		
V.	)			MAY -4 2017	
Craig Sco Defenda	nt. )			SUSAN Y. SOONG CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
Trial Act from May continuance outweigh t	the parties on the record on $1, 2017$ , to $May 26,$ he best interest of the public art makes this finding and ba	2617, and and the def	finds that the ends of justice endant in a speedy trial. <i>Se</i>	ce served by the ee 18 U.S.C. §	
	e to grant a continuance would U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(I).	ld be likely	to result in a miscarriage o	f justice.	
defend or law	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to <i>[circle applicable reasons]</i> the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).				
	e to grant a continuance would into account the exercise of o				
counse	e to grant a continuance would be l's other scheduled case com U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
necess	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
3161(b	For the reasons stated on the record, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b) and waived with the consent of the defendant under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 5.1(c) and (d).				
<del></del>	reasons stated on the record, $(1)(E)(F)$ for delay resulting	S		•	
IT IS SO ORDERED.  DATED: MAY - 4	2017	1	andis Wes	Shapp	
		(A) 125 CO	<b>ndis A. Westmore</b> ited States Magistrate Judg	ge	

Assistant United States Attorney

STIPULATED:

Attorney for Defendant